OPPORTUNITIES FOR RECYCLED CONCRETE AGGREGATE (RCA) IN CONCRETE PAVEMENTS

Sponsored by Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)

Jan Olek, Kho Pin Verian, Nancy Whiting and Jitendra Jain School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN-47907

INTRODUCTION

Background

- Aggregates occupy 70% to 80% of the volume of concrete and have considerable influence on it's properties
- Concerns related to naturally mined aggregates:
 - \rightarrow Depletion of existing sources
 - \rightarrow Availability of new sources
 - \rightarrow Restrictions on development of new sources
- Crushing and reusing of existing concrete to produce recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) should help to address some of these concerns



On-site crusher (http://www.dfwconnector.com/images/pictures/sized/onsite_crusher_gallery.jpg)



INTRODUCTION

Literature Findings

Recycled aggregate properties vs. natural aggregate properties

	Specific gravity (coarse aggregate)					
Author	Recycled	Natural				
	aggregate	aggregate				
ACPA (2)	2.1-2.4	2.4-2.9				
Gomez, Soberon, J.M.V. (8)	2.35-2.42 (SSD)	2.59-2.67 (SSD)				
Comez Seberen (M)/ (8)	2.17-2.28	2.57-2.64				
Gomez, Soberon, J.M.V. (8)	(air dry)	(air dry)				
Poon,C.S. (13)	2.33-2.37	2.62				
Ann, K.Y. (10)	2.48	2.63				
Xiao et al. (33)	2.52	2.82				
Fathizal, G. (12)	2.42-2.5 (SSD)	2.71-2.74 (SSD)				
Kou et al. (7)	2.49-2.57	2.62				
Olorunsogo, F.T., Padayachee, N. (24)	5.13	5.32				

	Absorptic	bsorption (%)			
Author	Recycled	Natural			
	aggregate	aggregate			
ACPA (2)	3.7-8.7	0.8-3.7			
Gomez, Soberon, J.M.V. (8)	5.83-8.16	0.88-1.49			
Poon,C.S. (13)	6.28-7.56	1.24-1.25			
Ann, K.Y. (10)	4.25	0.73			
Xiao et al. (33)	9.25	0.4			
Fathizal, G. (12)	3.3-5.4	0.54-0.89			
Kou et al. (7)	3.52-4.26	1.11-1.12			

RCA can have :

- Up to 16% lower specific gravity than that of natural aggregate
- Up to more than 20 times higher absorption than that of natural aggregate

INTRODUCTION

Literature Findings

Compressive strength vs. % recycled coarse aggregate

- The compressive strength of RCA concrete typically 2%-25% lower than that of concrete with natural aggregate.
- However, concrete with 50% RCA can have similar compressive strength to concrete with natural aggregate only (Etxeberria et al. 2007).



INTRODUCTION

Literature Findings

URDUE

Tensile strength vs. % recycled coarse aggregate

The tensile strength of RCA concrete:

• 8-21% lower

•8-20% higher
than the tensile strength of natural aggregate
(Etxeberria et al. 2007)





INTRODUCTION

Objective of the Study

To evaluate the effects of using aggregate produced from recycled concrete as a replacement for natural (virgin) coarse aggregate in pavement concrete mixtures.

Scope of the Study

- Evaluation and comparison of several properties of RCA and natural aggregates.
- Evaluation and analysis of the effects of RCA on concrete properties.

MATERIALS

- ➢ Portland Cement → Type I (ASTM C 150)
- Fly ash → Class C (ASTM C 618) 20% weight replacement
- Aggregates:
 - Coarse aggregates → three types of #8 coarse aggregates (dmax = 1 inch)
 - #8N1 #8 dolomitic limestone 1, obtained from Delphi Plant, IN.
 - #8N2 #8 dolomitic limestone 2, obtained from Newton County quarry , Kentland, IN.
 - #8R #8 RCA (Recycled Concrete Aggregate), crushed from old concrete pavement removed from State Road 26 Indiana, mostly gravel
 - Fine aggregate \rightarrow #23 natural sand



AGGREGATES PROPERTIES

Specific gravity, Absorption, L.A. Abrasion and Soundness

(Brine Freeze Thaw)

Aggregates properties		Co	Fine aggregate			
Aggregates properties	#8 N1	#8 N2	#8 R	#11R	INDOT limit	#23 Natural Sand
Bulk Specific Gravity (SSD)	2.74	2.69	2.42	2.45		2.61
Absorption, %	1.8	2.7	5.3	5.4	5%, max	1.4
% mass loss (L.A. Abrasion test)	29	31	36	34	(40%, max)	NA
% mass loss (Soundness Brine F/T)	0.1-0.5*	0.9	16.4		30%, max	0.9-9.5* (max. 12%)

NA: Not Applicable

*INDOT historical data



All coarse aggregates satisfied INDOT maximum allowable % mass loss for L.A. abrasion and Soundness (Brine F/T).

#8 N1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9



#8 RCA

5 6 7







Labelling of the mixtures





CONCRETE MIXTURES

Mixture Proportions

Mixtures designatio	ns	P-M1-1N1-C	P-M2-1R-C	P-M33R.7N1-C	P-M45R.5N2-F	P-M53R.7N1-F	P-M65R.5N2-C	P-M7-1R-F	P-M83R.7N2-F	P-M9-1N2-F	P-M10-1N1-C	Cem- ent
Cement		522.5	510	512.5	432.5	432.5	515	445	437.5	437.5	512.5	Fly
FA					100	100		105	100	110		ash
Water		232	239	219	212	220	224	220	227	214	214	0%
Fine Agg.		1570	1480	1520	1510	1480	1480	1420	1450	1480	1580	20%
Coarse #8 N1		1690		1190		1130					1730	RCA
Agg. #8 RC	A		1610	510	820	480	830	1580	490			50%
Air entraining ag oz	gent, fl	1.1	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.3	RCA
Water reducer	fl oz	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	1.9	1.7	2.4	2.1	1.8	2	100%
w/cm		0.44	0.47	0.43	0.40	0.41	0.43	0.40	0.42	0.39	0.42	RCA
Air entraining agent & Water reducer: fl oz/100 lbs cementitious												



CONCRETE MIXTURES

Ten types of concrete mixtures were produced at commercial ready mixed concrete plant

All concrete mixtures were based on the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) approved QC/QA pavement concrete mixture design Drum mixer at commercial ready mixed concrete plant



Verian, K. P., 2012



MIXING PROCESS

Specimens Production at Ready Mixed Plant





EXPERIMENTS

- Mechanical properties:
- Flexural strength (AASHTO T 97)

Durability properties:

- Rapid chloride permeability test ~ RCP (AASHTO T 277).
- Electrical impedance spectroscopy test ~ EIS
- Freezing and Thawing (ASTM C 666)
- Scaling (ASTM C 672)

Verian, K. P., 2012

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Compressive strength ~ Test Results

The compressive strength was obtained by testing 100×200 mm (4×8 in) cylindrical specimens in accordance with AASHTO T 22 and determined after 3, 7, 14, 28, and 56 days of moist curing.



RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Compressive strength ~ Test Results

The concrete mixture with 30% RCA (P-M3-.3R.7N1-C) had the highest compressive strength at all ages while the mixture with 100% RCA and no fly ash (P-M2-1R-C) had the lowest compressive strength at all ages beyond 3 days.





RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Compressive strength ~ Test Results

The increase in RCA content beyond 30% resulted in decrease in the compressive strength values (P-M6-.5R.5N2-C) and 100% (P-M2-1R-C).



RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Compressive strength ~ Test Results

Although adding more than 30% RCA reduces compressive strength, this trend can be offest by replacing part of cement with fly ash (compare M2 to M7 and M6 to M4).



RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Flexural strength ~ Test Results

The flexural strength values were obtained following AASHTO T97. The prismatic specimens (6×6×21 in.) were tested after 3, 7, 28, and 56 days of moist curing.
All mixes satisfied INDOT's minimum requirement for flexural strength at 7 days (4.0 MPa/570 psi).



19



RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Flexural strength ~ Test Results

Similar to what was observed for compressive strength, replacing cement by the fly ash helps to offset the flexural strength loss due to the use of RCA (beyond 30% replacement level)





RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

→ Rapid chloride permeability (RCP) ~ Test Results



21

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

→ Rapid chloride permeability (RCP) ~ Test Results



22

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Electrical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) ~ Test Results

Concrete's resistivity increased (up to 85%) along with the age of the concrete.

Fly ash concrete had higher increase in resistivity (25% to 85%) than plain concrete (14% to 24% increase).

			and the second division of the second divisio	and the second division of the second divisio	A Real Property lies and			
Mixturo designations	Resistivity, Kohm-cm							
	28-day	lay 56-day % inc			se			
P-M1-1N1-C	5.6	6.4						
P-M2-1R-C	4.0	4.8						
P-M33R.7N1-C	5.3	6.5		24				
P-M45R.5N2-F	6.0	7.5		25				
P-M53R.7N1-F	6.0	8.7	45					
P-M65R.5N2-C		5.3						
P-M7-1R-F	4.5	7.0		55				
P-M83R.7N2-F	4.8	8.8		82				
P-M9-1N2-F	5.9 -	→ 10.9 -	→	85				
P-M10-1N1-C	5.9	7.0		18				
data missed								
% increase fly ash mixture								

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Correlation between concrete resistivity from EIS test, charge passed and chloride ion penetrability based on RCP test results





RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Freezing and Thawing ~ Test Results





-Standard scaling rating (ASTM C 672)

The test results indicated that all concretes showed only very slight scaling (rating #1) when subjected to F/T cycles in the presence of calcium chloride

Placing 30% RCA mix

▶ 10:00 am, STA 654+00: Began placing 30%RCA.



PURDUE

Pavement stamped "1 30" to indicate sublot 1 that had 30%RCA





Samples tested from one of the first 3 truck loads:

- > Air = 5.2%
- > Slump = 1.5"

Finishers commented that it closed well and finished very nicely, better than the 100% gravel. Edge was looking very good, clean and sharp.





End of 30% RCA placement (R-30)

Placing 50% RCA concrete as part of the shoulder of new US 231 near the intersection of S. River Road.









Recycled concrete aggregate (RCA) replaced 50% of the coarse aggregate in the concrete used for part of the shoulder of the new US 231 near the intersection with River Road.

CONCLUSIONS

- All concretes produced in the ready mixed plant satisfied INDOT's requirements (QC/QA) for concrete pavements.
 - Slump (1.25 3.00 in)
 - Target air content 6.5% (allowable range: 5.7% 8.9%)
 - 7-day flexural strength, min. 570 psi
- RCA (used in this study) can be used as an alternative for natural coarse aggregate for concrete pavements at Indiana.
- At 30% replacement level, RCA concrete had properties which were comparable to (and in some cases even better) than the properties of control concrete (plain-0% RCA)
- Concretes with 50% and 100% RCA tend to have lower strengths and lower durability (as indicated by most of the properties tested) than the control concrete
- Replacing part of cement with fly ash (Class C, ~20% by weight) helped in bringing the properties to the level comparable to control concretes



RECOMMENDATIONS

- The determination of moisture content of RCA is critical for proper adjustments of the mix water. Further adjustments (i.e. in the amount and time of introduction of admixtures) may be required to achieve the desired target slump
- The replacement levels of RCA is suggested to be not higher than 30% for plain concrete and not higher than 50% for fly ash concrete
- The use of fly ash is recommended in RCA concrete since it has been proven that fly ash improves the mechanical and durability properties



Thank you

