Lee

and

·con

req

Ch

pla

sy

Вι

INTERMEDIATE PLAYERS

Dear Billy



Billy Miller dearbilly@aol.com

Dear Billy,

When following to declarer's play of the trump suit, do you prefer to give suit preference or count?

Up in the Air

Dear Levitator,

Nice question. When I learned the game the only thing that was ever mentioned was that a high-low in the trump suit showed a third trump and with interest in a ruff. Even if there was no ruff, this showed three trumps, not two. Only later in my bridge career did I learn of another type of signaling in the opponent's trump suit. Instead of high-lowing to show three, a highlow would indicate that I have a strong preference for the highest ranking unbid side suit. A low-high would indicate a lower-ranking suit preference or no preference at all, but not the highest suit. The latter is a negative inference.

I've found this system to be considerably more valuable than the ruff indicator. I seldom wish to tell partner, "I have another trump, so please get in and give me a ruff." In almost every session, there will be an opportunity to give partner some information about what your sidesuit preferences are. One last note: never high-low when an important

spot is used that could take a trick. Bottom line: you can tell which method I prefer.

Dear Billy,

At a recent club game, I held the following:

AAKJ643 ♥— ◆8 **A**A98652

The bidding, of course, went 1 ♦ by my left-hand opponent and proceeded Pass, 1♥. I had been taught to anticipate the bidding on such a hand by bidding a quiet 2♣ and I did so. LHO bid 2♥ and RHO bid 4♥ as expected. I bid 4 and it went Pass, Pass, 5♥. I bid 5♠, feeling I had completely described my hand. LHO passed, as did partner (an excellent one) holding:

♠1098752 ♥KJ4 ◆5 ♣KQ7 We failed to bid the laydown

spade slam. She maintains that all bids were predicated on the first bid and she could not read me for the hand I held. I respect her opinions, but is all bidding predicated on the first round as she insists? I was taught to be aggressive, but sometimes isn't it better to listen to the bidding and come in on the second round to better describe a hand.

Second Round Bidder

Dear Sandbagger,

Personally, I greatly prefer to show as many of my cards in one bid as is possible. Instead of overcalling clubs or spades, I would have used a Michaels 2 ♦ bid. If your partnership does not treat that as Michaels, you could start with 2NT, showing at least 5-5 in the unbid suits.

Also, by starting with one suit and reversing into a higher ranking one, you guarantee more length in the first suit. Obviously, your partner would have bid 4 h after your two-

suited overcall and you could have taken over from there, knowing partner had good enough support and some stuff to bid on their own at the four level.

Getting partner involved usually pays dividends.

Dear Billy,

My hand was

AQJ5 ♥AKJ6 ♦A72 **♣**KQ Pard's hand was

^AK1064 ♥— ♦Q **^**A76543 The bidding:

Partner Me 34 2NT 4 3 5 ♦ 4NT **Pass**

I thought 4 was Roman Key Card Gerber for spades; partne was bidding clubs. I meant 4NT to show two key cards with the ♠Q, partner thought I was bidding Blackwood. The auction was obviously muddled and did get better from there. How do get to a grand slam in spades of clubs? Should 44 be natural? What about 4NT?

Anonymous

Dear No-Name,

4♣ is natural. If you cuebid 4 to say you like one of partner's suits, there is a chance that you could reach the grand depending on your system and ability to ju well, as normally we won't use Blackwood with a void.

Part of my system is that 4N7 Roman Key Card Blackwood w it follows a Texas transfer (4 ♦ 4♥), whereas 4NT is quantitati when it follows a lower-level transfer. These are very difficul hands to get to seven on without good partnership trust. The ma lesson is to iron out your syste you can be on the same wavele in the future.