son play Stayman, transfers, 4 • as a minor-suit slam try in one minor, and 4♠ as a minor-suit slam try in either minor.

**Bridge Bulletin** auction: (3 ♥)–3NT– (Pass)-Pass; (Pass)

**Scores:**  $4 \triangleq 11$ ; 3NT 8;  $5 \triangleq 4$ ; (3  $\forall$ ) doubled 3;  $3 \spadesuit 2$ ;  $4 \diamondsuit 1$ Simson-Johnson 37, Cohen-Satten 39

## Problem 6

East deals. E-W vulnerable.

West	East	
♠ K 1098	<b>♠</b> Q 5	
VAK2	♥J765	
<b>*</b> 2	♦ 109654	
♣ J9872	♣ A K	
Simson	Johnson	
	Pass	
2 🄷	2NT	1
3.	3♥	
Pass		

Simson and Johnson play mini-Roman, *i.e.*, a  $2 \spadesuit$  opening that shows a limited (11-15 HCP) three-suited hand with short diamonds. That treatment was an impediment on this layout, as Johnson found himself with a borderline hand for passing or inviting. He eventually issued a 2NT response, an inquiry to get more information, only to hear Simson reply 3♣, showing a minimum. He retreated to 3♥.

Cohen	Satter
	Pass
1.	19
1	1NT
2 💜	Pass

Cohen embarked on a natural, pattern-showing sequence to allow the duo to find the nice Moysian fit at the two level.

**Bridge Bulletin** auction: Pass-1♣; 1 ♥-1 ♠; 1NT-2 ♥; Pass

Scores: 1 ♥ 10; 1NT 9; 2 ♥ 8; 1 ♠ 7; Pass 6; 2♣ 4; 2NT 3; 3♥ 2; 3♣ 1 Simson-Johnson 39, Cohen-Satten 47

## Problem 7

South deals. N-S vulnerable.

West	East	
<b>A</b> 8	♠ AJ2	
♥ QJ1084	<b>♥</b> 5 2	
• AKQ	<b>10965</b>	
♣ K 10 7 2	♣ J 9 6 4	
Simson	Johnson	
1 🗸	1NT	
2.	Pass	

The 1NT response is semi-forcing; opener is permitted to pass with a 5-3-3-2 minimum. The two-ofa-minor rebid strongly suggests a four-card suit. This is especially true because their 1NT opening shows 14-16 HCP: Opener will pass with balanced hands in the 11–13 range, but will bid again if unbalanced.

Cohen	Satten	
19	1NT	
2.	2 💜	
3 🄷	3♥	
Pass	0 03 10 1	- e Ablay

In this pair's methods, 1NT is forcing by an unpassed hand after a major-suit opening. 2. could be bid with as few as three clubs, so Satten took the matchpoint preference to 2 ♥. Cohen, with extra values, took a somewhat aggressive view with the pattern- and value-showing 3. Satten limped into 3 \, ending the auction.

**Bridge Bulletin** auction: 1 ♥-1NT; 2♣-2♥; Pass

Scores: 2♣ 11; 3♣ 7; 2♥ 6; 3♥ 4; 1NT 2; 2 • 1 Simson-Johnson 50, Cohen-Satten 51

## Problem 8

West deals. Both vulnerable.

West	East
♠ K 10 8 4	<b>▲</b> A Q J 6 3 2
<b>9</b> 8	♥ Q 6 5
♦ AK10876	<b>♦</b> 53
<b>4</b> 96	♣ A 5
Simson	Johnson
1 •	1 🖍
2 💜	2NT
3♠	3NT
4.	4NT
5.	6
Pass	

2 ♥ is artificial with a two-way meaning: It is natural or a shapely hand with a spade fit. 2NT asks responder to clarify, and the 3♠ follow-up reveals the spade-fit variety as well as a minimum hand. 3NT asked opener to specify where his singleton was located; 4, oddly enough, pinpoints the heart suit. A RKCB sequence was next, allowing the pair to reach the good slam.

Cohen	Satten
1 •	14
3♠	4.
4 🄷	4 🌲
Pass	

The West hand increases so much in value after a 1 \( \hbar \) response, that despite the fact it contains only 10 HCP, Cohen made a jump raise, typically showing a hand in the 16-18 HCP range. 4 and 4 are control bids, but Satten felt he had done everything he could, and rebid 4 . Cohen, who had already bid his hand to the hilt, did not make another slam try.

Bridge Bulletin auction: Pass-1♠; 2♣ (Drury)-2 ♦ (full opener); 4♠-Pass

Scores: 6 \$\times 12; 4 \$\times 8; 5 \$\times 7; 3 \$\times 1 Final score: Simson-Johnson 62, Cohen-Satten 59