

From Polish Bridge magazine

1. IMPs. East-West vulnerable.

<b>♠</b> Q64	3 <b>♥</b> A J	53 <b>\Q</b> A	J9 ♣87
West	North	East	South 1
Pass	1 💙	Pass	2 🗸
Pass	3 (1)	Pass	?

(1) Splinter.

Call	Votes	Award
4 🄷	9	100
4 💜	8	90
4NT	1	20
3NT	0	0

## Does a cuebid promise extra?

After North's 3 A splinter bid, your hand has decreased in value because the \( \bigcap \) Q is no longer useful. Eight panelists decide, therefore, to sign off in 4 💜 .

"4♥," says Baze. "The first message is to tell partner that I have a bad hand."

The Colchamiros agree. "Maybe we should do more, but we're down to a 10-count."

"This is not a mandatory cuebidding situation," says Walker. "If holding two aces is all partner needs for slam, he'll be using Blackwood next."

"I've only got a working 10-count for partner, and no source of tricks," says Falk. "If instead I held:

♠Q4 ♥AJ53 ♦AJ953 ♣87, then I would cuebid 4 ♦ ."

"This does not seem to be a suitable hand for slam with a minimum and the ♠Q wasted," says Rigal. "If you play 3NT as a non-serious slam try, then that might be worth considering."

Rigal refers to something that needs explaining. Eric Rodwell invented and popularized a treatment called serious 3NT. It depends on this principle: When one side, in an uncontested auction, has found an eight-card (or longer) major-suit fit, 3NT is never going to be the final contract. If you accept that this is the case, one can use the bid of 3NT to help differentiate between hands with extra values and those worth mild cooperation in a slam try. Here is an example:

the carry 1 • 10 2 • the bits demoley aw problem 3 of 13 of 13 of 12 of This confirmed heart tolerance, but Bridge Bullotin anchier bicht & Man

Playing 2/1 game-forcing methods, the partnership has agreed on a major suit in a game-forcing auction. 3NT

is sufficiently unlikely to be the ri contract that it can be discounted. Both hands are unlimited at this p in the bidding. Therefore, one har might be suitable for cooperating slam probe, or it might contain ex values. It is unsatisfactory to have make the same control bid with be hands. The solution would be to u cuebid of 4, for example, as a b showing willingness to cooperate a slam try, but not enough values make a serious slam try. Converse call of 3NT would show extra valand suggests real slam interest.

se a preemptive 3 vaise with

-carden Alte simple III III

Some experts reverse the mean ings: A cuebid is a serious slam tr and 3NT is the weaker, non-seriou bid. This is the situation that Riga refers to.

Half the experts disagree with s ing off in 4 💙 — they feel a cuebi justified.

"4♦," say the Coopers. "Our st is to cuebid here unless we hate our hand. We have the serious 3N treatment available, however, in o methods. We think the cuebid is important because sometimes par cannot proceed without knowing about the diamond control."

"4♦," says Freeman. I would ra play 3NT as indicating slam interbut I think standard practice says